Application No. 10/016,679 April 23, 2004 Reply To Office Action

 μ_{i} , λ_{i} ,

REMARKS

Summary Of Office Action

Claims 1-41 are pending in this application.

The Examiner has required restriction of this application to the invention of one of the following two groups:

Group I (claims 1-25, 31, and 38-41), and Group II (claims 26-30 and 32-37).

Applicants' Reply

Applicants believe the Examiner mistakenly included claims 32-37 in Group II. Claims 32-37 depend from Group I claim 31, which depends directly from Group I claim 1 (the Examiner may have thought that claims 32-27 depended from Group II claim 30). Accordingly, applicants believe that claims 32-37 should be in Group I. If this is not so, please advise.

Applicants hereby elect the invention of Group I (claims 1-25 and 31-41) for examination.

Applicants respectfully reserve the right to pursue the invention of the non-elected group, as originally claimed, in a divisional application.

Respectfully submitted,

Garry J. Tuma

Registration No. 40,210 Attorney for Applicants

FISH & NEAVE Customer No. 1473 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020-1105 (212) 596-9000